**Oral** presenters are allocated a 20-25 minute timeslot within the programme – this should be a 15 minute talk and 5 minutes for questions.

**Posters** also give opportunities to present reviews – either the protocols (as a work in progress poster) or the findings. Posters are on display throughout the conference, with a slot timetabled for both judging posters and for presenters to be around to answer any questions. Further information regarding the dimensions of the poster boards and suggestions of how to display poster material will be supplied to presenters who have their posters accepted. We reserve the right to ask some individuals who have applied to give an oral presentation, to instead present their work as a poster.

* All abstracts should be up to 250 words (exclusive of title and no more than 275 words (10% over word count). Abstracts over 275 words will not be considered.
* Abstracts will be judged anonymously so please do not include names on your document.
* They should not include references and unless submitting a “work in progress” poster, should be written in the past or present tense.
* Abstracts for review/theoretical papers should be structured as follows. They must incorporate the information detailed, ideally with suggested headings (but this is not a requirement).

**Please delete everything above and use the below as a template:**

**Title:**

**Purpose:** State the aim, primary objectives or what the question for the review paper was.

**Background:** Give a concise summary of information that puts the paper into context.

**Methods:** Describe the procedures used for the review, including inclusions/exclusion criteria, analysis adopted and key factors of consideration.

**Conclusions:** Summarise the conclusions that can be drawn from the review and if relevant, where gaps exist. Describe limitations of the review and where relevant, mention implications.

**WORD COUNT = ………….**